UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
- 10/725,508	12/03/2003	Akio Kawamura	SUGIY0004	5017	
²⁴²⁰³ GRIFFIN & SZ	7590 05/23/2007 CIPL PC	EXAMINER			
SUITE PH-1	SUITE PH-1			CHAPMAN, GINGER T	
2300 NINTH STREET, SOUTH ARLINGTON, VA 22204			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3761		
			MAN DATE	DEL MENVA VODE	
			05/23/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/725,508	KAWAMURA, AKIO
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ginger T. Chapman	3761
The MAILING DATE of this communication		h the correspondence address
Period for Reply	DLVIO OFT TO EVOIDE AM	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. Apply be timely filed FHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is application is in condition for alloclosed in accordance with the practice under the condition of th	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matte	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,7,8,10,11 and 13 is/are pendir 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,7,8,10,11 and 13 is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 December 2003</u> Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ the drawing(s) be held in abeyan rection is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)
 Notice of Neterences Cited (PTO-092) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s	offmary (F10-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application

Art Unit: 3761

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the claims

Claims 2, 4-6, 9 and 12 are cancelled, claims 1, 3, 7-8, 10-11 and 13 are pending in the application.

Drawings

Withdrawn Objections:

The objection to the drawings made of record in the previous Office action is withdrawn in view of Applicants' amendment to the specification filed 22 November 2006.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, p. 8 paragraph 2; p. 9, second paragraph regarding amendment, filed 22 November 2006, with respect to the rejections of claim 1 under 35 USC 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, new grounds of rejection are made in view of US 6,231,541 B1 (Kawamura); US 524,273 B2 (Kawamura).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3761

Claims 1, 3, 7-8, 10-11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kawamura (US 6,231,541 B1).

With regard to claims 1 and 13, Kawamura discloses a no-needle blood access device for hemodialysis comprising an elongated metallic body (c. 7, II. 42), a pair of shutters (34, 36), a longitudinally extending through hole disposed for anastomosis with a targeted artery of vein and a pair of vertical through-holes communicating to the respective through holes of the shutters (figs. 1 and 2); and a cannula assembly connectable to a dialyzer (c. 5, II. 40-45) including a pair of cannulas (68, 70), adapter and locking member for preventing the cannula from being removed (c. 7, II. 1-10); whereby the device is arranged such that when each of the shutters is slid in a direction away from each other, the well is in communication with each of the artificial conduits through the longitudinally extending through-hole and the vertical through-holes of the body and each of the through-holes of the shutters, and when each of the shutters is slid in a direction near to each other, the well is out of communication with each of the artificial conduits (c. 7, II. 65-67 to c. 8, II. 1-3).

With regard to claim 3, Kawamura discloses a recess (38, 40) which is used when the shutters (34, 36) are opened and closed (c. 4, ll. 35-55).

With regard to claims 7 and 8, Kawamura discloses the locking member includes a projection for locking in a groove formed in a side surface of the vertical portion of each of the shutters (c. 8, ll. 23-34).

With regard to claims 10 and 11, as best depicted in Figures 3A, 3B, Kawamura discloses the locking member further comprises a groove (34 a) for being locked in a projection (36a) formed in a side surface of the vertical portion of the shutters.

Art Unit: 3761

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1 and 13 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,524,273 B2. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because all the limitations of the instant claims are contained in the claims of '273, which claim the substantially identical subject matter.

Application/Control Number: 10/725,508

Art Unit: 3761

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ginger T. Chapman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4934.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 9:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Tatyana Zalukaeva can be reached on (571) 272-1115. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ginger Chapman

Examiner, Art Unit 3761

05/10/07

TATYANA ZALUKAEVA SUPERVISORY PRIENRY EXAMINER

Page 5